

University of Alberta

Biology and Control of Russian Thistle (*Salsola tragus* L.) in Bighorn
Sheep (*Ovis canadensis* Shaw) Winter Ranges in Montane Grasslands of
Jasper National Park, Alberta, Canada

by

Timothy Michael Antill

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Land Reclamation and Remediation

Department of Renewable Resources

©Timothy Michael Antill

Spring 2010

Edmonton, Alberta

Permission is hereby granted to the University of Alberta Libraries to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only. Where the thesis is converted to, or otherwise made available in digital form, the University of Alberta will advise potential users of the thesis of these terms.

The author reserves all other publication and other rights in association with the copyright in the thesis and, except as herein before provided, neither the thesis nor any substantial portion thereof may be printed or otherwise reproduced in any material form whatsoever without the author's prior written permission.



Library and Archives
Canada

Published Heritage
Branch

395 Wellington Street
Ottawa ON K1A 0N4
Canada

Bibliothèque et
Archives Canada

Direction du
Patrimoine de l'édition

395, rue Wellington
Ottawa ON K1A 0N4
Canada

Your file *Votre référence*
ISBN: 978-0-494-56628-2
Our file *Notre référence*
ISBN: 978-0-494-56628-2

NOTICE:

The author has granted a non-exclusive license allowing Library and Archives Canada to reproduce, publish, archive, preserve, conserve, communicate to the public by telecommunication or on the Internet, loan, distribute and sell theses worldwide, for commercial or non-commercial purposes, in microform, paper, electronic and/or any other formats.

The author retains copyright ownership and moral rights in this thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's permission.

AVIS:

L'auteur a accordé une licence non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque et Archives Canada de reproduire, publier, archiver, sauvegarder, conserver, transmettre au public par télécommunication ou par l'Internet, prêter, distribuer et vendre des thèses partout dans le monde, à des fins commerciales ou autres, sur support microforme, papier, électronique et/ou autres formats.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur et des droits moraux qui protègent cette thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

In compliance with the Canadian Privacy Act some supporting forms may have been removed from this thesis.

While these forms may be included in the document page count, their removal does not represent any loss of content from the thesis.

Conformément à la loi canadienne sur la protection de la vie privée, quelques formulaires secondaires ont été enlevés de cette thèse.

Bien que ces formulaires aient inclus dans la pagination, il n'y aura aucun contenu manquant.


Canada

Examining Committee

Dr. M. Anne Naeth, Department of Renewable Resources

Dr. Edward W. Bork, Department of Agricultural, Food and Nutritional Science

Dr. Robert J. Hudson, Department of Renewable Resources

Dr. A. Lee Foote, Department of Renewable Resources

PREVIEW

ABSTRACT

Russian thistle (*Salsola tragus* L.) invaded areas of native montane grassland important to winter survival of bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis* Shaw) were studied in Jasper National Park, Alberta, Canada. The biology of Russian thistle and its control in the Park were studied in the field and greenhouse. Russian thistle in grasslands were 9.1 cm tall with 37.5 seeds per plant, whereas larger plants in naturally disturbed habitats were 29.8 cm tall with 1562.4 seeds per plant. Plants travelled up to 4,180 m during dispersal. With soil seed contact, litter depth did not inhibit performance or survivability; without soil contact, thick litter reduced germination and plant performance. Russian thistle responded positively to increased greenhouse temperature and drier conditions. Seven control treatments involving herbicide, seeding mixes, hand pulling, and grazing exclusion were assessed. Grazing exclusion was the best field management option, increasing litter and biomass, while reducing Russian thistle density and biomass.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I sincerely thank my supervisor, Dr. Anne Naeth, for her guidance, insight and support throughout my MSc experience. I thank my committee members, Dr. Bork for his technical advice and input, and Dr. Robert Hudson and Dr. Lee Foote for their comments and willingness to partake in the review process.

Financial support from the Alberta Conservation Association, Canadian Wildlife Federation, Parks Canada, and TD Friends of the Environment is gratefully acknowledged. Thank you to Golder Associates Ltd. in partnership with the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for an NSERC Industrial Grant. I also thank the staff and Department of Renewable Resources.

I am very grateful for the assistance of numerous people during this project. Alan Westhaver, of Parks Canada, deserves special thanks for originally proposing this project, and for providing his support and knowledge. Additional assistance from Parks staff was provided by Jennifer McPhee, Naomi Harder, and Greg Slatter. Field assistance was provided by Darin Sherritt, Ingrid Hallin, Katryna Forsch, Mallory Jackson, Sarah Cartier, Robin Lagroix-McLean, Steven Murchison, and Steve Rome. Terry Dodge, Bruce Alexander, and Gema Armstrong helped in scouring the Athabasca Valley in search of wayward tagged thistles. Technical advice and guidance was greatly appreciated from Dr. Andreas Hamann, Dr. Ellen MacDonald, Dr. David Chanasyk, Dr. Barry Irving, Bruce Alexander, Jared Leboldus, and Rick Pelletier. I am thankful for the support and friendship of those in my graduate lab.

I thank Marilyn and Terry Antill for instilling in me a passion for the natural world, and teaching me that learning is a life long pursuit.

Finally, I acknowledge the love and assistance of Holley Yorston. Over the past two years she has had to endure cold rainy days in the field searching for Russian thistle, reading aloud of papers and books on invasive species during road trips to and from Jasper, countless hours of discussion on the finer points of Russian thistle ecology, and numerous sunshine filled weekends lost to work on the thesis. For her endless support and enthusiasm, I thank her.

See them tumbling down,
Pledging their love to the ground
Lonely but free I'll be found
Drifting along with the tumbling tumbleweeds.

Cares of the past are behind
Nowhere to go but I'll find
Just where the trail will wind
Drifting along with the tumbling tumbleweeds.

I know when night has gone
That a new world's born at dawn.

I'll keep rolling along
Deep in my heart is a song
Here on the range I belong
Drifting along with the tumbling tumbleweeds.

"Tumbling Tumbleweeds" composed by Bob Nolan in
1932 and recorded by the Sons of the Pioneers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER I. BACKGROUND	1
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. RUSSIAN THISTLE	3
2.1 Plant Origin and History	3
2.1 Plant Characteristics	5
2.2 Management and Control.....	8
3. STUDY AREA.....	9
3.1 Location	9
3.2 Climate	10
3.3 Landscape, Topography and Soils.....	11
3.4 Vegetation	12
3.5 Wildlife.....	13
4. GENERAL RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	14
5. REFERENCES CITED.....	16
CHAPTER II. RUSSIAN THISTLE (<i>SALSOLA TRAGUS</i> L.) POPULATION BIOLOGY IN THE LOWER ATHABASCA VALLEY OF JASPER NATIONAL PARK, ALBERTA, CANADA.....	22
1. INTRODUCTION	22
2 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESES	24
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	25
3.1 Extent of Infestation	25
3.2 Russian Thistle Movement and Seed Germination After Winter	26
3.3 Russian Thistle Seed Production	27
3.4 Russian Thistle Seed Viability and Germination.....	28
3.5 Russian Thistle Response to Greenhouse Litter Depth.....	29
3.6 Russian Thistle Response to Soil Texture.....	31

3.7 Russian Thistle Response to Temperature and Soil Water	32
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	34
4.1 Extent of Infestation	34
4.2 Russian Thistle Size and Seed Production	35
4.3 Russian Thistle Movement.....	36
4.5 Effect of Litter Depth	38
4.6 Effect of Soil Texture.....	40
4.7 Temperature and Moisture Effects	42
4.8 Management Considerations	43
5. CONCLUSIONS	44
6. REFERENCES CITED.....	45
CHAPTER III. ECOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT OF RUSSIAN THISTLE	
(<i>SALSOLA TRAGUS L.</i>) IN BIGHORN SHEEP (<i>OVIS</i>	
<i>CANADENSIS SHAW</i>) WINTER RANGE IN THE MONTANE	
GRASSLANDS OF JASPER NATIONAL PARK, ALBERTA,	
CANADA.....	57
1. INTRODUCTION	57
2. OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESES.....	60
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	60
3.1 Site Selection.....	60
3.2 Range Use.....	62
3.2.1 Range Health Assessment.....	62
3.2.2 Winter Forage Consumption	62
3.2.3 Pellet Count Transects	63
3.3 Soil Sampling and Physical Properties.....	63
3.4 Russian Thistle Management Experimental Design and Treatments ..	64
3.5 Vegetation Assessments.....	65
3.6 Above Ground Biomass	66
3.7 Statistical Analyses	66
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	68

4.1 Range Health.....	68
4.2 Wildlife Observations	68
4.3 Winter Forage Consumption	69
4.4 Pellet Evidence of Winter Ungulate Use.....	70
4.5 Soil Properties	70
4.6 Plant Species Composition Prior To Treatment Implementation.....	71
4.7 Vegetation Response to Grazing Exclusion	72
4.8 Vegetation Response to Herbicide.....	73
4.9 Vegetation Response to Hand Pulling.....	74
4.10 Vegetation Response to Native Seeding.....	75
4.11 Vegetation Response to Rye Grass Seeding	76
4.12 Vegetation Response to Mixed Treatments.....	77
4.13 Treatment Comparisons.....	78
4.13.1 Russian thistle control	78
4.13.2 Ecological range response	78
4.14 Winter Seed Dispersal Within Treatments.....	80
4.15 Annual Comparison of Russian Thistle Performance	81
4.16 Management Considerations	83
5. CONCLUSIONS	84
6. REFERENCES CITED.....	85
CHAPTER IV. SYNTHESIS AND FUTURE RESEARCH	99
1. RESEARCH SUMMARY.....	99
2. MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS	101
3. FUTURE RESEARCH	103
4. REFERENCES CITED.....	104
APPENDIX A. Study Site Descriptions.....	105
APPENDIX B. P-Values and Site Means.....	121

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1.	Russian thistle height, biovolume, and seed number among plants collected in grassland and disturbed habitats.	49
Table 2-2.	Russian thistle height and distance traveled among plants collected in grassland and disturbed habitats after winter.....	49
Table 2- 3.	Direction of Russian thistle movement during winter 2008-2009 in grassland and naturally disturbed habitats.....	50
Table 2-4.	Direction, occurrence, and speed of maximum wind gusts recorded at the Environment Canada Jasper Warden Weather Station between 1 October 2008 and 1 May 2009.	50
Table 2-5.	Litter treatment effect on leaf number, plant height, maximum leaf length, vigour, germination, and survival in the greenhouse.	51
Table 2-6.	Soil texture effect on leaf number, plant height, maximum leaf length, vigour, germination, and survival in the greenhouse.	51
Table 2-7.	Available nitrate, phosphate, and potassium for clay, Devona, Jasper Lake, peat potting mix, and sand soil treatments.	52
Table 2-8.	Least square means for plant height, number of leaves per plant, and root length associated with temperature and moisture treatments in growth chambers.	52
Table 3-1.	Native grass species seed mix for the treatment sites in Jasper National Park.....	89
Table 3-2.	Perennial ryegrass seed mix for the treatment sites in Jasper National Park.....	89
Table 3-3.	Mean total standing biomass and mean Russian thistle biomass for fall 2008 and spring 2009.	90
Table 3-4.	Mean pre treatment ground cover (%) and Russian thistle density in June 2008.	90
Table 3-5.	Mean Russian thistle parameters in August 2008 and 2009.....	91
Table 3-6.	Mean ground cover (%) in August 2008 and 2009.....	92
Table 3-7.	Mean treatment biomass derived from data across sites.	93
Table 3-8.	Number of Russian thistle hand pulled across sites in 2008 and 2009.	93
Table 3-9.	Mean Russian thistle density and height from June 2009 vegetation assessment across sites.	94

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1.	Overview map of the study area in Jasper National Park.....	21
Figure 1-2.	Diagram depicting the location of DV1 and TA2 Ecosites in the Athabasca River valley (Holland and Coen 1983b).....	21
Figure 2-1.	Percent germination and survival of Russian thistle seeds under 1000 kg/ha, 3000 kg/ha, 4000 kg/ha, and 5000 kg/ha, of litter, and for seeds on top of 4000 kg/ha of litter and a control with no litter.	53
Figure 2-2.	Percent germination and survival of Russian thistle seeds place on top of five different soil treatments.....	53
Figure 2-3.	Russian thistle plant height and root length under hot and cool temperature conditions and three moisture regimes, xeric, mesic, and hydric.....	54
Figure 3-1.	Location map of study sites in Jasper National Park.....	95
Figure 3-2.	Diagram illustrating general treatment plot layout; seven treatments randomly assigned a location along each of four rows.	95
Figure 3-3.	Total standing biomass in fall 2008 and spring 2009 at the study sites.....	96
Figure 3-4.	August 2009, percent cover of graminoids, forbs, Russian thistle, litter, total vegetation, and exposed soil by treatment type.....	96
Figure 3-5.	Grass, forb, Russian thistle, litter, and total biomass (kg/ha) by treatment.....	97
Figure 3-6.	Jasper Mean monthly temperatures from 2007 to 2009 relative to the normal mean monthly temperature based on Environment Canada weather data from 1971 to 2000.	97
Figure 3-7.	Jasper mean monthly precipitation from 2007 to 2009 relative to the normal mean monthly temperature based on Environment Canada weather data from 1971 to 2000.....	98

LIST OF PLATES

- Plate 2-1. Russian thistle on naturally disturbed sandy cut banks along the east shore of Jasper Lake (15 August 2008). 55
- Plate 2-2. Heavily grazed montane grassland infested with Russian thistle in the foreground, Jasper Lake in the background (11 April 2009).... 55
- Plate 2-3. Large Russian thistle plants growing along the north shore of Jasper Lake (12 August 2009)..... 56
- Plate 2-4. Small Russian thistle plants growing in grassland habitat on Edna's Knoll (13 August 2009)..... 56

PREVIEW

CHAPTER I. BACKGROUND

1. INTRODUCTION

The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), currently recognizes the spread of invasive alien species as one of the greatest threats to the ecological and economic well being of the planet (McNeely et al. 2001). The impact of invasive alien species on native ecosystems, habitats, and species is severe and often irreversible. In Canada, alien species include at least 27% of all vascular plant species, 181 insect species that feed on woody plants, 55 freshwater fish species, 26 mammal species, 24 bird species, 4 amphibian species, 2 reptile species, and several fungi and mollusk species (Environment Canada 2004). Invasive alien species are often plants, which pose a heightened concern because they can alter structure, organization, or function of ecological systems (Olson 1999), resulting in reduced biodiversity, loss of habitat and food for native animals, and changes to natural ecological processes (e.g. plant community succession) (Parker et al. 1999, Booth et al. 2003, Radosевич et al. 2007). Some invaders reduce or eliminate species and communities that national parks or nature preserves were established to protect (U.S. Congress 1993).

Invasion by non native plant species is considered an ecological and economical threat to protected natural areas and adjacent surrounding lands in Canada (McPhee 2007). To protect and preserve examples of Canada's natural heritage policies, action plans must be developed to deal with invasive species in natural areas (White et al. 1993). The National Parks Act requires that national parks be managed for maintenance of ecological integrity (Mosquin 1997), which is considered the key focal point of policies and practices for Canada's protected areas (Canadian Parks Council 2006). Restoration activities are expected to be consistent with recommendations in, "An Invasive Alien Species Strategy for Canada" (Canadian Parks Council 2006). Environment Canada (2004) recommends that an ecosystem approach be used to manage invasive species through eradication, containment, and control.

In Jasper National Park, situated along the Rocky Mountains in western Alberta, 123 non native invasive plant species have been documented in several hundred infestations along transportation corridors and disturbed sites (McPhee 2007). The Jasper National Park Management Plan (Parks Canada 1997) recognizes that these non native species threaten the integrity of native plant species and communities. Their management is an integral component of the Park's objective to maintain or restore the integrity of Rocky Mountain ecosystems and preserve native biodiversity (McPhee 2007).

This study focused on one non native invasive species in Jasper National Park, Russian thistle (*Salsola tragus* L.). The Jasper National Park Integrated Pest Management Plan (McPhee 2007) included Russian thistle as one of the most noxious broadleaf species representing significant threats to native plant communities and ecological integrity in the park. Large areas of Russian thistle have been observed in native montane grassland communities used for winter grazing by bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis* Shaw) and other ungulates. There is concern these areas of invasion may be increasing in size, and appear to coincide with areas subject to sustained use by bighorn sheep, elk (*Cervus elaphus* Linnaeus), and deer (*Odocoileus* spp.). Overgrazing of critical winter range areas has diminished range condition, thus permitting Russian thistle to become established and compete with, or replace, already stressed native plant species and possibly reduce wildlife forage.

Montane grasslands of the lower Athabasca Valley and surrounding hillsides near Jasper Lake, provide a unique critical winter range habitat for ungulate species, particularly bighorn sheep. This winter range is located on exposed slopes at lower elevations providing good predator visibility and escape terrain. Due to low precipitation and wind swept conditions the area retains little snow allowing easy access to winter forage. The Athabasca Valley is an important east-west corridor through the Rocky Mountains for rail, vehicle traffic, and pipelines. Non native plant species, including Russian thistle, brought in along these transportation corridors threaten winter range areas. Invasive plant species can alter native plant communities and reduce range condition (Olson 1999). Poor range health and reduced forage availability, combined with severe winter conditions, could theoretically reduce localized ungulate populations.

This research on Russian thistle will address the extent and character of infestations in the Athabasca Valley, mechanisms of invasion, the role of wildlife grazing on establishment, and management strategies. Research results will benefit protected areas and land managers throughout the province and beyond who are involved with ungulate grazing and invasive species.

2. RUSSIAN THISTLE

2.1 Plant Origin and History

Russian thistle, colloquially referred to as tumbleweed, is an annual forb native to Central and Southwest Asia and Southeast Europe; now widely naturalized in South Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, and North and South America (Flora of North America 2009, USDA Agricultural Research Service 2009). Russian thistle is a member of the *Chenopodiaceae* (Goosefoot) family, and related to another invasive species in the Park, kochia (*Kochia scoparia* (L.) Schrad) (Brenzil 2004).

Russian thistle nomenclature is confusing and the plant has numerous scientific synonyms including *Salsola australis* R. Br., *Salsola iberica* (Sennen & Pau) Botsch, *Salsola kali* L. and *Salsola pestifer* A. Nelson (Howard 1992, Mosyakin 1996, USDA Forest Service 2006, Orloff et al. 2008). *Salsola tragus* L. is now accepted as the correct name (Flora of North America 2009, Integrated Taxonomic Information System 2009). The correct name was used by some botanists in the 19th century, but most North American botanists chose to use a European misapplication of the name (Mosyakin 1996). Numerous field books (including Moss 1994 Flora of Alberta), government publications, and reports in Alberta refer to the plant as *Salsola kali*, or other synonyms.

According to Flora of North America (2009), *Salsola tragus* is an extremely polymorphic species consisting of several subspecies; however many of them are simply morphological variants of limited or no taxonomic value (Flora of North America 2009). Plant specimens collected from Jasper National Park were taken to the University of Alberta herbarium for expert identification, where the plant was confirmed as *Salsola tragus* L.

Russian thistle was first introduced to North America in 1873 by Russian immigrants as a contaminant in flax (*Linum sp.*) seed in South Dakota (Brenzil 2004, Orloff et al. 2008). After its introduction Russian thistle quickly spread across the continent by contaminated seed, threshing crews, railroad cars, and by its wind blown dispersal mechanism (Orloff et al. 2008). Russian thistle first arrived in Canada in 1889, east of Morden Manitoba (Evans 2002). It was such a large concern for farmers in Manitoba that it was largely responsible for the creation and enactment of Canada's first provincial noxious weed act in 1894 (Evans 2002). By 1895 several provinces and 16 states were infested with Russian thistle (Young and Evans 1972) and it was abundant in drier parts of southern Alberta and Saskatchewan by 1909 (Evans 2002).

Agricultural practices of the late 1800s and early 1900s and the plowing of native prairie facilitated the rapid dissemination of Russian thistle. Russian thistle is not aggressive enough to compete with established native vegetation, so like many weeds, it benefits from agricultural practices designed to reduce environmental stresses (e.g. irrigation and fertilization) (Young 1991). Russian thistle has apparently had a long relationship with agriculture; Young (1991) mentions archaeologists have found carbonized seeds in excavations of some of the world's oldest agricultural sites in southern Eurasia.

Today in North America, Russian thistle occurs from British Columbia east to Labrador and south through the United States to northern Mexico (Howard 1992). It is common throughout southern and central portions of the Prairie Provinces and absent in northern Alberta (Leeson et al. 2005). Northern occurrences are rare, although Crompton and Basett (1984) found Russian thistle as far north as 55° latitude. An isolated population of Russian thistle occurs in the Peace River region near Taylor, British Columbia (Latitude: 56° 09' 08" N) (British Columbia Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries 2002). Recently Russian thistle has been observed north of Fort McMurray, Alberta, (Latitude: 57° 01' 01" N) on oil sands mining areas (Brown pers. comm. 2009).

In Jasper National Park Russian thistle is common on dry exposed or disturbed sites in the lower Athabasca Valley. It was documented near Windy Point as early as 1946 (Pfeiffer 1948). In 1980, Russian thistle was considered common on open sand dune ridges in the Jasper Lake area (Sharp 1980). In 1993 the

amount of Russian thistle in open southeast facing slopes adjacent to Jasper Lake had diminished the value of the grasslands for wildlife (Biota Consultants 1993). By the early 1990s the Jasper Warden Service considered Russian thistle a serious concern due to its ability to invade and dominate overgrazed rangeland (Weerstra and Weerstra 2007).

2.1 Plant Characteristics

Russian thistle is an annual, well adapted to hot dry conditions. It can develop a deep widely branched taproot 1 m or more in length (British Columbia Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries 2002). Mature plants are 0.1 to 1.3 m in height (Morisawa 1999, British Columbia Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries 2002) and are rounded and bushy with numerous slender ascending stems (Orloff et al. 2008). In late fall and early winter, the base of the stem becomes brittle and breaks off at soil level (Orloff et al. 2008) allowing the plant to tumble in the wind, hence the name 'tumbleweed'. A specialized layer of cells in the stem allows the plant to break cleanly away from the roots; destruction of these cells coincides with seed maturity (Young 1991). The plant disperses seeds as it rolls along the ground. Seed production can vary depending on conditions (Halvorson and Guertin 2003), with a single plant producing up to 200,000 seeds (Young and Evans 1972). New infestations commonly appear as a trail of seedlings across fields (USDA Forest Service 2006).

Russian thistle only reproduces by seed. It is indeterminate, with flowering and seed production continuing until temperatures drop below $-3.9\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (Morisawa 1999). Individual small winged seeds are retained in the leaf axils. The seed contains no endosperm, but is comprised of a spirally coiled, fully differentiated seedling, which facilitates the seed in rapidly taking advantage of short periods of favorable conditions (Young et al. 1995). Seed germination is based primarily on an internal time clock rather than external factors, with seeds able to germinate under very specific temperature conditions in the fall (Young and Evans 1972). Over winter, temperature restrictions disappear and in spring seeds germinate under a wide range of seed bed temperatures (Howard 1992). Spring germination can occur if daytime temperatures are above freezing; however seedlings are very susceptible to frost (Morisawa 1999).

Seeds are short lived and seed viability in the soil decreases greatly within two years. Young et al. (1995) report that under irrigated conditions 99% of seeds germinated in the first year or died before germinating. Seed germination and viability vary depending on environmental factors. Brenzil (2004) found that for seeds planted in the fall, 31% germinated the following spring, 0.5% germinated the second year and 0.04% germinated the third year. The soft porous nature of the seed may allow it to germinate rapidly, contributing to its lack of longevity (Young et al. 1995).

Russian thistle is a shade intolerant, initial colonizer in primary and secondary succession (Howard 1992). It is well adapted to cultivated dryland agriculture and is found on disturbed sites, including overgrazed rangelands (Morisawa 1999, British Columbia Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries 2002, Whitson et al. 2006). Russian thistle grows along roads, railroad tracks, fields, and disturbed sites. It can invade many different disturbed plant communities, and colonize barren desert areas that cannot support other flora (Howard 1992). Young et al. (1995) considered Russian thistle one of the most efficient plants in the world at producing plant dry matter per unit of water used. Russian thistle is considered one of the most common and troublesome invasive weed species in the drier regions of the United States (Whitson et al. 2006). It grows well on uncompacted, well drained soil with a sunny exposure (British Columbia Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries 2002), does not perform well in moist environments (Brenzil 2004), and cannot tolerate saturated soils for extended periods of time (British Columbia Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries 2002).

Russian thistle exploits disturbed sites and exposed soil. However, it competes poorly with established vegetation and lacks the aggressiveness to overtake dense native populations (Young 1991). Rutledge and McLendon (1996) suggest both intra-specific and inter-specific competition can reduce set seed. During drought conditions or if competing vegetation is removed, Russian thistle will dominate (Morisawa 1999). With a C4 metabolic pathway, Russian thistle has increased germination at higher temperatures, and higher water use efficiency compared to C3 species (Crompton and Basett 1984). However, under cool conditions the attributes of C4 plants become less advantageous and they often cannot compete with native and crop C3 species (Brenzil 2004).

Russian thistle is a pioneering annual that invades disturbed sites during early successional stages but may only remain in the system for two to seven years (Lodhi 1979, Howard 1992). Even as a monoculture, it becomes stunted after colonization of a disturbed area (Lodhi 1979, Schmidt and Reeves 1989). Lodhi (1979) found that Russian thistle contained allelopathic phytotoxins and claimed the plant litter was autotoxic. However, Schmidt and Reeves (1989) later refuted Lodhi's finding, suggesting the poor growth following initial colonization was not due to phytotoxins from the litter of previous Russian thistle plants. Russian thistle does not likely have an allelopathic effect on surrounding plants as evidenced by Russian thistle material having no effect on growth of *Agropyron smithii* Rydb. (Schmidt and Reeves 1989).

Russian thistle is a non mycorrhizal species (Schmidt and Reeves 1984). On sites with vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal (VAM) fungi in the soil, Russian thistle roots were readily invaded by the fungi to the detriment of the plant (Allen and Allen 1988, Allen et al. 1989). VAM hyphae attempted to invade the roots of Russian thistle, but were rejected causing browning and death of root segments. At the seedling stage, the VAM invasion resulted in reduced growth and survival; inoculation in field trials reduced Russian thistle density up to 30%. Halvorson and Guertin (2003) suggested as mycorrhizal fungi build up in the soil following a disturbance, Russian thistle populations decline and the fungi will be available to create associations with compatible species for the next successional stage.

Although Russian thistle is generally an undesirable species, it has nutritional value for domestic animals and wildlife. It is consumed by a number of animals including bison, cattle, elk, deer, prairie dogs, pronghorn, and sheep; the seeds are eaten by birds and small mammals (Howard 1992). Russian thistle contains more protein and carbohydrates than clover (*Trifolium* spp.) (Long 1941), and although not as palatable, it has as much mineral salt and 65% as much protein as alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) (Howard 1992). During prolonged drought periods in the late 1930s, farmers in Canada and the United States harvested Russian thistle for hay and silage when agricultural crops failed (Young 1991, Evans 2002). Due to its efficient use of water, there has been interest in using Russian thistle as a forage crop in semiarid regions (Howard 1992).

2.2 Management and Control

Russian thistle must be managed by preventing seed production and depleting the soil seed bank. The British Columbia Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries (2002) recommends preventing establishment of new infestations by minimizing disturbance and seed dissemination, eliminating seed production, and maintaining healthy native plant communities.

Numerous attempts to find an effective biological control agent have been unsuccessful. A leaf mining moth (*Coleophora klimeschiella* Toll) and a stem boring moth (*Coleophora parthenica* Meyrick) were approved and released in California but were not effective at reducing Russian thistle populations (California Department of Food and Agriculture 2008). Currently a blister mite (*Aceria salsolae* de Lillo & Sobhian) from the Mediterranean Basin, a stem boring caterpillar, and two weevils are under investigation (Orloff et al. 2008).

The British Columbia Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries (2002) suggest mowing or pulling young plants can manage Russian thistle, if repeated over several years. Mowing is effective on very young plants; however older plants will recover by axial branching below the cut (California Department of Food and Agriculture 2008). Hand pulling of large plants is difficult and may cause injury from the spines (USDA Forest Service 2006). Loosening the soil during cultural control practices must be avoided because loose soil is necessary for Russian thistle germination and is likely to aggravate the situation (Orloff et al. 2008).

Planting competitive desirable species can prevent Russian thistle establishment in many non-crop environments (Orloff et al. 2008). Reestablishment of native plant species can reduce Russian thistle infestations (Rutledge and McLendon 1996). The British Columbia Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries (2002) recommends seeding disturbed areas to perennial grass.

In agricultural crop and non-crop areas herbicides can control immature Russian thistle plants to prevent seed production. Pre-emergent herbicides are applied to the soil prior to seed germination; if applied in fall they can provide season long control (California Department of Food and Agriculture 2008). According to Orloff et al. (2008) the most effective pre-emergent herbicides are atrazine (Aatrex), bromacil (Hyvar), chlorsulfuron (Telar), hexazinone (Velpar), imazpyr (Arsenal),

napropamide (Devrinol), simazine (Princep), and sulfometuron (Oust). Post-emergent herbicides must be applied directly to plants in early growth stages, preferably early seedling stages before the plant hardens and produces spiny branches (Orloff et al. 2008). Post-emergent herbicides typically do not provide long term control due to repeated flushes of seed germination following application (USDA Forest Service 2006, California Department of Food and Agriculture 2008). Russian thistle is not readily controlled by any post-emergent herbicide once in the spiny stage (Orloff et al. 2008). Effective post-emergent herbicides are dicamba (2,4-D, Banvel, Vanquish), glufosinate (Finale, Liberty, Rely), glyphosate (Roundup), and paraquat (Gramoxone) (Orloff et al. 2008).

Russian thistle is prone to developing herbicide resistance (Brenzil 2004, California Department of Food and Agriculture 2008, Orloff et al. 2008), particularly to Group 2 herbicides (Brenzil 2004, Saskatchewan Ministry of Agriculture 2008) which are inhibitors of the enzyme acetolactate synthase (Hall et al. 1999). Herbicide resistant biotypes of Russian thistle have evolved in only a few years following treatment with chlorsulfuron (Telar) or sulfometuron (Oust) (Orloff et al. 2008). Herbicide resistance has been documented in California, Idaho, Montana, North Dakota, Oregon, Washington (California Department of Food and Agriculture 2008, Saskatchewan Ministry of Agriculture 2008), and Saskatchewan (Brenzil 2004, Saskatchewan Ministry of Agriculture 2008). In Alberta, two populations are resistant to sulfonylureas (Beckie pers. comm.). Repeated use of a single herbicide, or of herbicides with the same mode of action, should be avoided to prevent herbicide resistant populations (Orloff et al. 2008). Using a combination of management strategies and rotating herbicide modes of action will reduce the chances of developing herbicide resistance.

3. STUDY AREA

3.1 Location

The study area is located in Jasper National Park, in west central Alberta in the Rocky Mountains, approximately 350 km west of the city of Edmonton. The Continental Divide makes up the west boundary of the park and is the border

between Alberta and British Columbia. Jasper National Park is 10,878 km² in size (Parks Canada 2005). The park is comprised of three primary ecoregions, montane, subalpine, and alpine. Of the three ecoregions, the montane ecoregion is the smallest in size but contains the richest diversity of flora and fauna, and provides important winter range for most of the large mammal species in Jasper National Park (Decker and Bradford 2001). A large proportion of human development in the park occurs in the montane ecoregion, including roads, railway, pipelines, and the town of Jasper.

The study area was located in the montane grassland hillsides and valley bottom in the lower Athabasca Valley from 12 Mile Bridge on Highway 16 to Brule Lake near the east gate (Figure 1-1). The area was selected with Parks Canada staff based on presence of Russian thistle and heavy grazing of winter ranges by bighorn sheep (McPhee pers. comm. 2008, Westhaver pers. comm. 2008).

3.2 Climate

Variations in Jasper National Park climate are due to a combination of elevation, rainshadow effect, and latitude. The climate of Jasper National Park is characterized by long cold winters and short cool summers, with occasional hot spells (Parks Canada 2005). The climate of the montane ecoregion is the warmest and driest of the three ecoregions in the park, with the greatest temperature fluctuations (Holland and Coen 1983a). The daily average temperature from the Environment Canada Jasper Weather Station in January is -9.8 °C; the daily average temperature in July is 1.5 °C. Moisture reserves in the upper soil horizons are often depleted by early July and it is not uncommon to have prolonged periods of soil water stress later in the summer (Stringer 1973). Average annual precipitation at the Environment Canada Jasper Weather Station is 399 mm, with summer precipitation greater than winter precipitation. In winter, warm Pacific air masses raise temperatures and result in the montane ecoregion being intermittently snow free (Holland and Coen 1983a). Holland and Coen (1983a) suggest winds in the montane ecoregion are more frequent and a little stronger than those in other areas because the valleys, such as the Athabasca Valley, are oriented parallel to prevailing westerly winds.

3.3 Landscape, Topography and Soils

The Athabasca River flows northward through the study area, widening and slowing to create Jasper Lake and again directly outside of the park to create Brule Lake. Both lakes are very shallow, and large portions are seasonally dry, revealing sandy lake bottoms during periods of low flow. Strong winds mobilize sand and silt from the exposed lake bed and deposit it in the surrounding area. Dune formations are located along both Jasper Lake and Brule Lake.

In the study area the Athabasca Valley is oriented in a northeast direction with large open grasslands located along hillsides on the west side of the valley, particularly from Windy Point at the base of Roche de Smet to Benson Ridge at the east edge of the park. On the east side of the valley open grasslands are located primarily on south facing slopes in areas such as Cinquefoil Mountain, Syncline Ridge, and Edna's Knoll.

In the Athabasca Valley, studies were focused in two specific ecosections, the Devona (DV) Ecosection and the Talbot (TA) Ecosection. In the Jasper Lake area, Russian thistle was primarily associated with DV1 Ecosites and TA2 Ecosites (Figure 1-2). The following ecosite information was derived from the Ecological (Biophysical) Land Classification of Banff and Jasper National Parks (Holland and Coen 1983b).

The DV1 Ecosite occurs on the Athabasca Valley floor, adjacent to Jasper Lake. It is associated with ridged dune landforms (maximum depth 25 m) of calcareous, medium textured eolian material. Soils are rapidly drained, extremely calcareous Orthic Regosols. Wind erosion and deposition is ongoing. Soil texture is very fine sandy loam to silt loam.

The TA2 Ecosite occurs on lower slopes of the Athabasca Valley from Jacques Creek to Jasper's east gate. The ecosite is typified by veneers of calcareous, medium textured eolian materials overlaying morainal till and bedrock. Eolian deposits are a result of strong winds transporting material from the Athabasca River floodplain and shores of Jasper Lake. As a result of the deposition of wind blown material, soils associated with this ecosite are rapidly to well drained, extremely calcareous Orthic and Cumulic Regosols. In localized protected areas, Orthic Eutric Bunisols may occur in minor amounts.

3.4 Vegetation

The montane ecoregion in the study area is characterized by three vegetation communities: closed forest communities dominated by Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* var. *glauca* (Beissn.) Franco) and white spruce (*Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss); deciduous forest occurring on fluvial fans, terraces and floodplains; and open grasslands occurring on dry exposed slopes (TeraWestland 2005). This study focuses primarily on vegetation and site conditions associated with open grassland communities.

In the DV1 Ecosite, vegetation on drier sites on exposed dunes is dominated by creeping juniper (*Juniperus horizontalis* Moench), northern wheatgrass (*Agropyron dasystachyum* (Hook.) Scribn), and rush like sedge (*Carex scirpoidea* Michx.) (L6 vegetation type). Thickets of white spruce are found in moist depressions (Holland and Coen 1983b). Occasionally, communities of june grass (*Koeleria macarantha* (Ledeb.) J.a. Schultes), fringed sage (*Artemisia frigida* Willd.), and wild blue flax (*Linum lewisii* Pursh) (H6 vegetation type) are found.

The TA2 Ecosite encompasses the majority of grassland in the valley. It is dominated by june grass, fringed sage, and wild blue flax (H6 vegetation type); and shrubby cinquefoil (*Dasiphora floribunda* (Pursh) Kartesz, comb. nov. ined.), bearberry (*Arctostaphylos uva-ursi* (L.) Spreng), and northern bedstraw (*Galium boreale* L.) (L1 vegetation type) (Holland and Coen 1983b). The H6 vegetation type occurs mainly on south and east facing erosional scarps between Jasper Lake and Jasper's east gate (Holland and Coen 1983b). The L1 vegetation type is typical of steep south exposures. Although limited in presence, gullies and northerly aspects are characterized by white spruce, juniper, and bearberry (O17 vegetation type).

According to the range plant community types for the montane subregion of Alberta (Willoughby et al. 2005) the climax community associated with south facing slopes in the study area is a fringed sage / june grass (A1) community type. The prominent species in this community (june grass, northern wheatgrass, fringed sage, pussy toes (*Antennaria* spp.), and bearberry) are typical of xerophytic and Mixed Prairie type grasslands throughout Western Canada (Willoughby et. al 2005). Grazing likely had a strong role in the development of